## **REMARKS**

## Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-14 and 28-33 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Farkas</u> et al. (US Patent 6,001,730) in view of <u>Kaufman</u> et al. (US Patent 6,063,306). The Applicant respectfully traverses. The cited references do not teach or render obvious all elements of the Applicant's invention. In particular, <u>Farkas</u> in view of <u>Kaufman</u> does not teach the element of independent claim 1 of "an oxidizer comprising K<sub>3</sub>Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>." In contrast, <u>Kaufman</u> teaches the use of oxidizing agents that are inorganic or organic percompounds. A per-compound is a compound containing at least one peroxy group (-O-O-). The Applicant's oxidizer K<sub>3</sub>Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub> is not a per-compound. <u>Farkas</u> also fails to teach the use of an oxidizer comprising K<sub>3</sub>Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>. Additionally, <u>Farkas</u> in view of <u>Kaufman</u> does not teach the element of independent claim 11 of "a sulfate getter." Both <u>Farkas</u> and <u>Kaufman</u> fail to teach a sulfate getter. Therefore, the Applicant respectfully submits that independent claims 1 and 11, and the claims that depend upon and incorporate the limitations of claims 1 and 11, are not obvious in light of Farkas in view of Kaufman.

-4-